

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,351	11/26/2003	Wayne D. Comper	62386-043	6164
	7590 02/09/2007	EXAMINER		
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/721,351	COMPER, WAYNE D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stacy B. Chen	1648	

	Stacy B. Chen	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal for the with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	tice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other eviden ee) in compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date so ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHI 06.07(f).	e mailing date of the final rejecti EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropri ply originally set in the final Offi illing date of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10/13/06</u>. A brief in confilling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supply of the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (se	a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered be ee NOTE below);	ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of N	Ion-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		will be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde y and was not earlier presen	r appeal and/or appellant fa ited.  See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment filed January 12, 2007 will not be entered because the amendment proposes new claim limitations that were not previously presented or discussed as possible claim amendments. Particularly, the limitations of screening kidney function and decreased kidney function would minimally require further consideration as to the metes and bounds of what is encompassed by kidney function.

STACY B. CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Atacy B. Chan 2/407